

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/596,783	06/19/2000	Carl J. Kraenzel	LOT9-2000-0006 US1 3747	
27085 7	590 06/10/2003			
IBM CORPORATION LOTUS SOFTWARE ONE ROGERS STREET			EXAMINER	
			PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2177	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner Sathyanarayan Pannala 2177 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to evoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the Markovy Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply spire later than \$1X MONTHS from the mailing date of the Article Within 17 MONTHS of The FAIL REJECTION. See MFEP 700 MONTHS of The FAIL REJECTION of The experisation also of the shortened statutory period for reply originally as in the final Office action; to under 37 CFR 1.176(a), it is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally as in the final Office action; the whole of pale was seen and part of the shortened statutory period for reply originally as in the final Office action; the proposed dimendent of pales and pales them adjustments after the mailing date of the final rejection. A Notice of Appeal was filed on	- xi-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner Sathyanarayan Pannala 2177	Advisory Action	09/596,783	KRAENZEL ET AL.				
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. Only CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REFLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REFLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.134. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b]] The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than \$1X MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Only CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS PLICED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OT THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS PLICED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OT THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS PLICED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OT THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS PLICED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OT THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS PLICED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OT THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS PLICED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OT THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS PLICED WITHIN THE METER OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CHECK THE SECOND WITHIN THE PROPOSED WITHIN THE PROP		Sathyanarayan Pannala	2177				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of this final rejection. The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS Affected. (7) The Period of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 100X ONLY CHECK THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION. THE FINAL REJECTION OF THE FINA	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
a)	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. No. No. 19 (19 cent). No		·					
no event, however, will the statutiory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTH'S from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TVO MONTH'S OF THE FINAL EJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensic fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensic fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensic fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the feet. The appropriate extensic fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding provides are seen and the filed filed extension. The filed filed extension and the period of extension and the provided extension			in the Contract of the Contrac				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a jfidavit, b j ☐ exhibit, or c j ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) ☒ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) ☒ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) ☒ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. □ The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. □ Other: 							
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) ☒ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a □ affidavit, b □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: □ Claim(s) objected to: □ Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: □ Approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 8. □ The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). □	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) ☑ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) ☒ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			dered but does NOT place the				
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) ☑ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		. 1				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) ☑ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	Claim(s) objected to:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2003 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:							
10. Other:	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•				
PRIMARY EXAMINER 45 U3 Sathyanarayan Pannala	10. Other:	BINSON	D.				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues include: 1) "Service manager replicating a user browser..." 2) "Framework for fully functioning offline server." of claim 1, 2, 6-8, 14, 20-22.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Prior art by McGee (US Patent 6,393,468) do teach. As an example, the claim 1 limitation "a database for storing passwords" see at Fig. 3, col. 7, lines 65-67.